# Tamassee-Salem High

P.O. Box 96 Salem, SC 29676

Grades 9-12 High School

**Enrollment** 196 Students

Principal Steve M.R. Moore 864-944-0444

Superintendent Dr. Valerie Truesdale 864-886-4400

**Board Chair** Harry B. Mays, Jr. 864–972–3629

# THE STATE OF SOUTH CAROLINA

# <del>2006</del>

# ANNUAL SCHOOL REPORT CARD

# ABSOLUTE RATING

### UNSATISFACTORY

Absolute Ratings of High Schools with Students like Ours

Excellent Good Average Below Average Unsatisfactory

2 3 11 5 6

# IMPROVEMENT RATING

UNSATISFACTORY

# ADEQUATE YEARLY PROGRESS

#### О

This school met 8 out of 9 objectives. The objectives included performance and participation of students in various groups.

Definition: As required by the United States Department of Education, Adequate Yearly Progress specifies that the statewide target is met for All Students and for the following subgroups: Racial/Ethnic, Subsidized Meals, Disability, and Limited English Proficiency.

#### SOUTH CAROLINA PERFORMANCE GOAL

By 2010, South Carolina's student achievement will be ranked in the top half of the states nationally. To achieve this goal, we must become one of the fastest improving systems in the country.

http://ed.sc.gov http://www.sceoc.org

PERFORMANCE TRENDS OVER 4-YEAR PERIOD								
Year	Absolute Rating	Improvement Rating	Adequate Yearly Progress					
2003	Average	Below Average	No					
2004	Excellent	Excellent	Yes					
2005	Average	Unsatisfactory	No					
2006	Unsatisfactory	Unsatisfactory	No					

## DEFINITIONS OF SCHOOL RATING TERMS

- Excellent School performance substantially exceeds the standards for progress toward the 2010 SC Performance Goal
- •Good School performance exceeds the standards for progress toward the 2010 SC Performance Goal
- •Average School performance meets the standards for progress toward the 2010 SC Performance Goal
- •Below Average School is in jeopardy of not meeting the standards for progress toward the 2010 SC Performance Goal
- •Unsatisfactory School performance fails to meet the standards for progress toward the 2010 SC Performance

HIGH SCHOOL ASSESSMENT PROGRAM (HSAP) EXAM PASSAGE RATE: SECOND YEAR STUDENTS									
		Our School		High Schools with Students Like Ours					
Percent	2004	2005	2006	2004	2005	2006			
Passed 2 subtests	72.5	50.0	78.8	70.8	63.9	68.6			
Passed 1 subtest	15.0	14.8	17.3	15.1	17.5	14.9			
Passed no subtests	12.5	35.2	3.8	14.7	18.5	16.5			

HSAP PASSAGE RATE BY SPRING 2006		
	Our School	High Schools with Students Like Ours
Percent	87.8%	88.0%

ELIGIBILITY FOR LIFE SCHOLARSHIP		
Percent of	Our School	High Schools with Students Like Ours
Seniors eligible for LIFE Scholarships at four-year institutions*	0.0	11.5
Seniors who met the SAT/ACT requirement	0.0	11.9
Seniors who met the grade point average	51.9	44.4

<sup>\*</sup>Using only the SAT/ACT and grade point average requirements

GRADUATION RATE		
	Our School	High Schools with Students Like Ours
Number of Students	40	210
Number of Diplomas	23	145
Rate	57.5%	70.8%

END OF COURSE TESTS		
Percent of students scoring 70 or above on:	Our School	High Schools with Students Like Ours
Algebra 1/Math for the Technologies 2	75.0	73.0
English 1	67.9	58.7
Biology 1/Applied Biology 2	85.2	53.1
Physical Science	25.0	37.4
All Subjects	55.9	54.5

PERFORMANCE BY STUDENT GROUPS									
	HSAP Passage Rate by Spring 2006		Eligibility Schol		Graduation Rate				
	n	%	n	%	n	%	Met State Objective		
All Students	41	87.8	27	0.0	23	57.5	No		
Gender									
Male	18	77.8	11	0.0	18	44.4	N/A		
Female	23	95.7	16	0.0	22	68.2	N/A		
Racial/Ethnic Group									
White	40	87.5	24	0.0	37	56.8	N/A		
African American	N/A	N/A	2	0.0	2	50.0	N/A		
Asian/Pacific Islander	N/A	N/A	0	0.0	N/A	N/A	N/A		
Hispanic	N/A	N/A	1	0.0	N/A	N/A	N/A		
American Indian/Alaskan	N/A	N/A	0	0.0	N/A	N/A	N/A		
Racial/Ethnic Group									
Non disabled	30	100.0	18	0.0	29	65.5	N/A		
Disabilities other than speech	11	54.5	9	0.0	11	36.4	N/A		
Migrant Status									
Migrant	N/A	N/A	0	0.0	N/A	N/A	N/A		
Non-migrant	41	87.8	27	0.0	40	57.5	N/A		
English Proficiency									
Limited English Proficient	N/A	N/A	0	0.0	N/A	N/A	N/A		
Non-Limited English Proficient	41	87.8	27	0.0	40	57.5	N/A		
Socio-Economic Status									
Subsidized meals	22	90.9	24	66.7	24	66.7	N/A		
Full-pay meals	19	84.2	9	0.0	16	43.8	N/A		

n = number of students on which percentage is calculated

			GROUP

Tamassee-Salem High									
HSAP PERFORMANCE BY GROUP									
	Enrollment 1st		/ %		/	/	1	Performance Objective	Participation Objective M
	nglish/Lan							V/	
All Students	52	96.2	8.5	40.4	27.7	23.4	59.6	Yes	Yes
Gender Male	23	95.7	19.0	42.9	14.3	23.8	42.9	N/A	N/A
Female	29	96.6	N/A	38.5	38.5	23.0	73.1	N/A	N/A N/A
Racial/Ethnic Group	29	90.0	IN/A	30.5	30.5	23.1	73.1	IN/A	IN/A
White	49	98.0	8.7	39.1	28.3	23.9	58.7	Yes	Yes
African American	3	90.0 I/S	1/S	1/S	20.3 I/S	23.9 I/S	1/S	I/S	I/S
Asian/Pacific Islander	0	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	I/S	I/S
Hispanic	0	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	I/S	I/S
American Indian/Alaskan	0	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	I/S	I/S
Disability Status		1471	1471		,.	1471		1,70	1,70
Not Disabled	41	97.6	10.3	30.8	30.8	28.2	66.7	N/A	N/A
Disabled	11	90.9	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	0.0	I/S	I/S
Migrant Status									
Migrant	0	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A
Non-Migrant	52	96.2	8.5	40.4	27.7	23.4	59.6	N/A	N/A
English Proficiency									
Limited English Proficient	0	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	I/S	I/S
Non-Limited English Proficient	52	96.2	8.5	40.4	27.7	23.4	59.6	N/A	N/A
Socio-Economic Status									
Subsidized meals	31	93.5	7.7	53.8	26.9	11.5	46.2	I/S	I/S
Full-pay meals	21	100.0	9.5	23.8	28.6	38.1	76.2	N/A	N/A
N	// Mathemation	cs - State	Performa	ance Obje	ctive = 50	0.0%			

M	Mathematics – State Performance Objective = 50.0%								
All Students	52	96.2	8.5	44.7	19.1	27.7	66.0	Yes	Yes
Gender									
Male	23	95.7	14.3	42.9	14.3	28.6	61.9	N/A	N/A
Female	29	96.6	3.8	46.2	23.1	26.9	69.2	N/A	N/A
Racial/Ethnic Group									
White	49	98.0	8.7	45.7	17.4	28.3	65.2	Yes	Yes
African American	3	I/S	I/S	I/S	I/S	I/S	I/S	I/S	I/S
Asian/Pacific Islander	0	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	I/S	I/S
Hispanic	0	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	I/S	I/S
American Indian/Alaskan	0	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	I/S	I/S
Disability Status									
Not Disabled	41	97.6	N/A	43.6	23.1	33.3	79.5	N/A	N/A
Disabled	11	90.9	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	0.0	I/S	I/S
Migrant Status									
Migrant	0	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A
Non-Migrant	52	96.2	8.5	44.7	19.1	27.7	66.0	N/A	N/A
English Proficiency									
Limited English Proficient	0	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	I/S	I/S
Non-Limited English Proficient	52	96.2	8.5	44.7	19.1	27.7	66.0	N/A	N/A
Socio-Economic Status									
Subsidized meals	31	93.5	15.4	50.0	19.2	15.4	57.7	I/S	I/S
Full-pay meals	21	100.0	N/A	38.1	19.0	42.9	76.2	N/A	N/A

	Our School		nge from st Year	Hi Sch with St Like	ools udents	Median High School
Students (n= 196)						
Retention rate	7.1%	Down fro			8.7%	7.0%
Attendance rate	95.4%		m 95.7%		95.3%	95.5%
Eligible for gifted and talented	17.7%				8.5%	7.9%
With disabilities other than speech	25.0%		om 28.6%		14.7%	12.3%
Older than usual for grade	12.8%				13.1%	9.5%
Out-of-school suspensions or expulsions for violent &/or criminal offenses	8.2%	·			1.5%	1.2%
Enrolled in AP/IB programs	0.0%		ge		11.7%	11.2%
Successful on AP/IB exams		N/A			N/A	N/A
Eligible for LIFE Scholarship*	0.0%	Da for	0 00/		9.0%	10.2%
Annual dropout rate		Down fro			3.6%	2.8%
Career/technology students in co-curricular organizations	0.0%	No chan			4.3%	3.5%
Enrollment in career/technology center courses	110	Down fro	om 121		455	448
Students participating in worked-based experiences	11.1%	Up from	10.6%		18.8%	24.2%
Career/technology students mastering core competencies	83.7%	Up from	72.8%		74.1%	80.0%
Career/technology completers placed * Using only SAT/ACT and Grade Point Average requirem		N/A			97.2%	99.1%
Teachers (n= 23)						
Teachers with advanced degrees	47.8%	Up from	44.8%		51.6%	55.5%
Continuing contract teachers	N/AV				N/AV	N/AV
Classes not taught by highly qualified teachers	16.1%	N/A			14.4%	9.6%
Teachers with emergency or provisional certificates	10.5%	Up from	4.0%		10.5%	9.9%
Teachers returning from previous year Teacher attendance rate	79.4%	Down fro	om 83.0%		83.7% 95.5%	86.3% 95.3%
		Up 1.3%		•	95.5% 42.527	\$42.943
Average teacher salary Prof. development days/teacher	12.0 days				42,521 7 days	η42,943 11.2 days
School	12.0 dayo	lob nom	10.1 dayo	10	ir dayo	TT.E dayo
Principal's years at school	3.0	Up from	2 Ո		3.0	3.0
Student-teacher ratio in core subjects	21.3 to 1		om 27.5 to 1	2!	5.8 to 1	25.7 to 1
Prime instructional time		Down fro			89.0%	89.3%
Dollars spent per pupil*		Up 92.19			\$6,856	\$6,792
Percent of expenditures for teacher salaries*	54.1%	Down fro	om 57.0%		56.8%	55.3%
Percent of expenditures for instruction*	58.0%				62.0%	61.1%
Opportunities in the arts		No chan	ae	E	cellent	Excellent
Parents attending conferences		Down fro			89.0%	92.8%
SACS accreditation	Yes No change				Yes	Yes
Character development	Good	Down fro	m Excellent		Good	Good
* Prior year audited financial data are reported.		•	0	District		Chaha
Classes in low poverty schools not taught by highl	v qualified teach	ere		District 7.8%		State 6.2%
Classes in high poverty schools not taught by high				N/A		10.2%
5   1   1   1   1   1   1   1   1   1	, ,		State Object		Met St	ate Objective
Classes not taught by highly qualified teachers in	this school		0.0%			No
Student attendance in this school			0/ 00/.*			Voc

94.0%\*

Yes

Student attendance in this school \*or greater than last year

### REPORT OF PRINCIPAL AND SCHOOL IMPROVEMENT COUNCIL

The faculty and staff of Tamassee-Salem Middle and High School continue their quest to provide the best possible education for the students of the Tamassee-Salem community. Providing opportunities to be involved in Healthy Ventures activities, athletics, fine arts, and advanced programs of study, we work to create a learning community where Success is an Expectation. We are proud of the achievements of our students and faculty, and look forward to the future with more achievements to come. Our students continue to work hard and learn what it takes to be successful, and we know that they will continue to push us to provide our best. We appreciate our parents working with us to help create the environment where nothing but a young person's best is expected. Without your continued support, the school would not be able to push for higher excellence. Thanks for all you do to help us in our search for continued excellence in education.

#### Steve Moore, Principal

The School Improvement Council is a means of assuring the influential voice of parents and community members in the education of the students in our school. This year we have a very active council that met on a monthly basis to help in planning, monitoring, and evaluating improvements at our school. I would like to give a huge thank you for all involved and for voicing your opinions, allowing us to improve our school.

#### Tricia Burgess, Chair SIC

For additional information and to review the School Summary to the People for 2005-06, please visit the school website at http://www.oconee.k12.sc.us/tsh/.

EVALUATIONS BY TEACHERS, STUDENTS, AND PARENTS			
	Teachers	Students*	Parents*
Number of surveys returned	23	26	8
Percent satisfied with learning environment	87.0%	88.0%	I/S
Percent satisfied with social and physical environment	91.3%	84.6%	I/S
Percent satisfied with school-home relations	65.2%	80.8%	I/S

<sup>\*</sup>Only eleventh grade students and their parents were included. For schools without grade 11, only the highest grade was included.